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• R1 (Doctoral Universities – Very High Research Activity)
• $53 million annual research expenditure
• 804 articles published annually (Web of Science, 2023)
Three Perspectives

- Library
- Office of Research
- Researchers
Institutional and Researcher Reputation

- Research Information Management
  - Pure
  - Watermark Faculty Success
- Faculty profiles
- Institutional Repository
Versioning

• Metadata about version of record
• Multiple other versions linked in IR
• Challenge for IR manager
• Concern for some of our faculty
• What is responsibility of institution in managing versions to maintain integrity?
Only two papers taken down for research integrity issues
1. Accidentally published twice
2. Serious research misconduct

Is there more? What are we missing?
Office of Research

- Investigates fewer than ten allegations of research misconduct annually
- Most of these don’t require any mitigation
Data Sets

• Concern about ability to alter data sets we host
• VPR mandates a second copy on local hard drive for longitudinal studies
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Statement of Retraction

We, the Editors and Publisher of Brain Injury have retracted the following article:


This group of abstracts from the 14th World Congress on Brain Injury was mistakenly published as a duplicate of the same set of abstracts in Brain Injury, 37, Supplement 1 (2023).
• What are we missing?
• What could we do proactively?